


8. What would you change about the design and organization of information in Volume III to increase its user friendliness?		Response Count
		83
	<i>answered question</i>	83
	<i>skipped question</i>	117

## Comment

1. see #7
2. Consolodation of information.
3. It could be reorganized into a more step by step process to help direct the process.
4. Nothing
5. Better graphics
6. In the beginning of each section incoporate a chart that details what the BMP is best suited for, benefits and limiting factors.
7. I think that it is confusing to have the BMP's split between Structural and Non-structural
8. nothing
9. Organization seems to be ok at the moment with the content it has although I find that some graphs are repetitive through all the manuals and should be in one spot.
10. nada
11. give it to all planning departments and landscape architects for their use
12. 4 Step process in First Chapter
13. same.
14. works weel for us
15. I think it is fine.
16. NA
17. More photos, (not just from GECS Manual)
18. More discussion, calculations and approaches about splitters to send low flows to a pond and high flows bypass
19. same as 7
20. Not familiar enough to comment
21. Can't think of anything
22. Wet bottom extended detention guidance.
23. Ok as is.
24. I might consider moving the typical structural BMP details (Chapter 5) into the structural BMP Chapter (4). Chapter 5 seems to act as a tables/figures appendix to Chapter 4 as currently organized. Also, within Chapter 4, there is little to help the reader distinguish between BMPs for imperviousness reduction/MDCIA (optional) and BMPs which meet WQCV requirements. It seems that there should be a more distinct separation between these categories of structural BMPs.
25. Don't know
26. Emphasize sustainability.

27. consolidate examples with discussions
28. N/A
29. Nothing
30. Provide some means to stay abreast of new BMP technologies and products
31. Hmmmm... have to think about that
32. existing version is pretty well organized
33. NA
34. I'm not that familiar with the information
35. none
36. ???
37. Don't use it often enough to give a good opinion?
38. Can't think of anything
39. no specific suggestions.
40. It's relatively friendly to use. The focus should remain on the content first and foremost.
41. ?
42. Again, not sure?
43. more detailed directions/organization to find information
44. no suggestion.
45. Sub-divide it further.
46. More pictures and diagrams, some references and websites for other cities and research
47. Current organization of the manual is adequate.
48. thin it out; get rid of any repetitive or overly explicit info; binder too small in dark blue vol. 3, fell apart;
49. no recommended change
50. More pictures and examples. Also, examples of incorrect applications.
51. ?
52. Try to group calculations together - right now they are pretty spread out and you have to look for the right equations to use.
53. Have someone like Brian Greene write it
54. Nothing
55. Volume III is the most user friendly manual I have ever used; and I have used a large number over the years
56. As mentioned in #7, I would like to see a spreadsheet which lists volumes for forebays and micropools.
57. SAA
58. Sometimes it is hard to find specifics, design content is buried in text or in multiple sections throughout the volumes
59. Can't think of anything
60. Add successes and failures portion for each BMP.
61. I think it's well organized and fairly easy to use.
62. It is user friendly as it is.
63. Better coordination between municipalities and Urban Drainage would be helpful.
64. Reduce the number of acronyms. Incorporate photos of good and bad installations of permanent stormwater quality BMPs.
65. Friendly electronic format and website

- 66.** I would have better definition for each application for BMPs.
- 67.** nothing
- 68.** do not know
- 69.** Good as is.
- 70.** The numbering of the tabs can be confusing of where to look for information. If the tabs could be more numerical instead of tied to the title of the section on the tab, it may be easier to find information.
- 71.** Nothing.
- 72.** N/A
- 73.** There is considerable discussion about 80% TSS removal-and considerable discussion about how it is an imperfect metric. Somehow the imperfect part is ignored, and people are advocating 80% TSS removal for any storm-which is a chimera.
- 74.** Nothing.
- 75.** Have one PDF document for easy searching.
- 76.** Details and calculations could be grouped together, along with links to UDFCD spreadsheets, so that if any individual BMP or LID technique were going to be considered, all of the information related to doing the calculations, providing the details and dimensions, and construction notes were all together. A flow chart or matrix, similar to the one in the water quality section of the Denver manual, which will sort out appropriate BMP's for site size, development type, etc. would be very helpful. However, it should be expanded to include many more choices, and embrace technological solutions as well.
- 77.** Nothing.
- 78.** No real issues with the design of the manual.
- 79.** See #7
- 80.** Put everything related to a BMP (description, maintenance & calculators) together
- 81.** Fairly easy to use from an Engineers standpoint.
- 82.** not sure
- 83.** Have it easily convert to smaller modules specifically applicable to designers versus field crews maintaining construction BMPs